ORIGINAL

v.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

PARRIS WALL,

Petitioner

Criminal Action No

99-33-004(JJF)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent. /

MAY 1 9 2006

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

ADE DISTRICT DI DELOMARE'S

80 Scarred

CLARIFICATION OF STATEMENTS MADE DISTRICTOR DELIMAGE'S

"MOTION FOR RETURN OF UNITED STATES CURRENCY AND MISCELLANEOUS

PROPERTY SEIZED DURING SUBSEQUENT ARREST AND SEARCH NOW HELD IN

VIOLATION OF FED.R.CRIM.P.RULE 41(g)

- 1. Petitioner Parris Wall, received the governments response to his January 19,2006 RULE 41(g) motion on Monday May, 1,2006.
- 2. The government points out in their motion that petitioner may have lied in regards to statements made in his motion , and petitioner Wall would like to clarify those discrepancies.
- 3. Paragraph (2) of petitioners Rule 41(g) motion reads in pertinent part: "Also in the custody of the petitioner was a portfolio of car titles, rent receipt, and one (1) gold ring. All of which were seized by law enforcement agents on the date in question. These items were not seized on the date that petitioner was arrested, but about a week before from his co-defendants sister's white Acura Legend vehicle that Scott was driving on

the night that he was arrested.

4. In Paragraph (5) of the petitioner's Rule 41(g) motion it reads, "Petitioner's jury trial began September 27,199, and ended October 8,1999, wherin, the Government never produced the \$590.00 dollars nor the portfolio as evidence against the Petitioner. This was a mere miscommunication, between myself, and the person whom I dictated the facts to who was the person who typed the motion up for me.It was not the petitioner's intent in any way to mislead the court, especially being as though the facts have been transcribed, and undisputed long ago.

Dated:5/15/2006

Respectfully Subnitted,

Parris Wall, pro se

U.S.P.Lewisburg

P.O.Box 1000

Lewisburg, PA 17837-1000

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, PASTIS WANT and correct copy of the foregoing:	hereby certify that I have served a true
Molium For Chrific	Alian of Rulf Elly) Modion
Which is deemed filed at the time it was delivered to prison authorities for forwarding to the court, <u>Houston vs. Lack</u> , 101 L.Ed.2d 245 (1988), upon the court and parties to litigation and/or his/her attorney(s) of record, by placing same in a sealed, postage	
prepaid envelope addressed to: (Prichary (Uniting St.)  **Nors Biog #700 +207 Mar	G. Angrew, Esq.
*Nevars Bldy #700 4207 Mm	Alts Afternays Office
RO Box	2046 1046 100, DE 19899-2046
and deposited same in the United States Postal N	あい、VT 19899 - 2046 Mail at the United States Penitentiary,
Signed on this 13th day of My 200	<u>b</u> ,
	Respectfully Submitted,

Parris Wall-Parris Wall
REG. NO. 01172-015

